

Oak Park Historic Preservation Commission
June 17, 2020 – Meeting Minutes
Remote Participation Meeting, 6:00 pm

Roll Call

Present: Interim Chair Rebecca Houze and Commissioners Jennifer Bridge, Sandra Carr, Monique Chase, Lou Garapolo, David Sokol, and Aleksandra Tadic
Absent: Commissioner Noel Weidner
Staff: Susie Trexler, Historic Preservation Urban Planner
Attorney: Greg Smith, Klein, Thorpe & Jenkins

Agenda Approval

*Motion by Commissioner Sokol to approve the agenda. Second by Commissioner Bridge.
Motion approved 6-0.*

Non-Agenda Public Comment

None

Minutes

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to approve the minutes for February 13, 2020. Second by Commissioner Carr. Motion approved 6-0.

Regular Agenda

Commissioner Tadic arrived at 6:15PM.

- A. HPC2020-8: 229 N Marion St (Mark Deaton):** Certificate of Appropriateness to remove existing rear stairs and build new rear stairs; raise window sill height on two windows (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced the application. Planner Trexler gave an overview and said the applicant attended the Architectural Review Committee (ARC) meeting on February 26, 2020. The ARC found the plans to be appropriate. The applicant has since added an item to their project scope: the raising of the sills on two windows on the side elevation to accommodate the interior kitchen counter.

Drew Nelson, the architect, was present. Mr. Nelson explained the project. He noted that the sill of a window on the rear within the porch will also be raised but will be hidden by the porch.

Motion by Commissioner Sokol to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Garapolo.

Chair Houze said she remembered this coming before the ARC and the main change will be the windows. Commissioner Garapolo said the project is compatible with what was discussed at the ARC.

Motion by Commissioner Bridge to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed. Second by Commissioner Garapolo. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

- B. HPC2020-11: 1013 Erie St (John Perch):** Certificate of Appropriateness to replace front door (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

John Perch, the homeowner, was present. Mr. Perch said the existing back door has six horizontal window panels. The front door will be the same design but will only have four.

Chair Houze asked if the door can be repaired. Mr. Perch said he doesn't know if it's original. He explained issues with the door and said it is not energy efficient and does not go with the house.

Motion by Commissioner Sokol to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Garapolo.

Commissioner Garapolo said he does not think the proposal is appropriate. He recommended repair or replacement in kind. Mr. Perch said he the house has a modern addition and the proposed door matches the existing rear door. Commissioner Garapolo said the rear door is not visible from the street so would not require review.

Mr. Perch asked about the modern addition. Commissioner Bridge said the door is part of the fabric of the house that is within the period of significance for the historic district. It can be replaced in kind if beyond repair, but matching to a non-historic addition is not in keeping with the Guidelines.

Mr. Perch asked about options if repairing or matching the door is expensive. Commissioner Sokol said you can apply for Economic Hardship. Chair Houze said you can bring in a quote for repair work to demonstrate the cost as being significantly more than replacing. She said she does not think it would be any more expensive to replace the door to match than to replace with the one currently proposed. Chair Houze said they can provide resources to assist with both of these options. Mr. Perch said he has already purchased the door and it is non-refundable.

Mr. Perch said the modern addition was approved by the Village and if a door was put on the back of the house, he is not sure why this wouldn't get approved. He said that rear door is more energy efficient than the front. Commissioner Sokol said the approval for an addition applies only to the addition. Mr. Perch asked about the appeal process. Chair Houze said the process is to follow the Ordinance and apply the Guidelines and changes to the rear or to additions are handled differently than the original street façade. She said the options are repair or replacement in kind. She asked Planner Trexler to explain the appeal process should the COA be denied. Planner Trexler said following COA denial, that the applicant would have three options: rescind application, appeal to the Village Board, or request a Certificate of Economic Hardship. Attorney Smith noted that before the appeal process, the Commission would have to hold a public hearing and deny the COA.

The Commission took no action.

- C. HPC2020-10: 430 N Euclid Ave (Chad and Regina Savage):** Certificate of Appropriateness to replace three existing stoops (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Rosanne McGrath, the architect, was present. She noted that Regina Savage, the homeowner is also in attendance. Ms. McGrath explained the project and noted that the three existing stoops do not appear to be original to the house.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Tadic.

Chair Houze asked about the color masonry material proposed. Ms. McGrath said a gray or slate-tone masonry, similar to the slate roof on the house. Commissioner Garapolo said he thinks it's a good proposal. Commissioner Tadic agreed and said she has no objections.

Motion by Commissioner Carr to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed. Second by Commissioner Garapolo. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

D. HPC2020-12: 241 S Scoville Ave (Caroline McLean): Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish existing garage and build a new garage (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Caroline McLean, the homeowner and architect, was present.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Sokol.

Commissioner Garapolo said he thinks the proposal is appropriate, but recommended a letter from a structural engineer stating that the garage cannot be repaired. Ms. McLean said this would be expensive and asked if it is required. Commissioner Garapolo said this has been the typical approach of the Commission in the past. Chair Houze agreed, but said she can see from the documentation provided that the garage is deteriorated. Commissioner Carr concurred that the photographs are sufficient documentation of the garage's deterioration. Commissioner Sokol said he went past it and agrees it is in bad shape and a letter is not needed. Commissioner Tadic agreed.

Chair Houze said the new garage is appropriately scaled and asked if there could be any effort to replicate the character of the original garage, for example, the windows. Ms. McLean said the garage roof pitch is similar to that of the house. She said the windows in the original garage are awning windows. She said she kept the shape at the bottom and used double-hung sash windows at the top to reflect the house.

Motion by Commissioner Carr to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed. Second by Commissioner Tadic. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

- E. HPC2020-13: 332 N Harvey Ave (Justin & Leigh Merkey):** Certificate of Appropriateness to remove non-historic mudroom addition, add narrow roof/awning, extend the existing deck, and alter windows (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

William Scholtens, the architect, was present. Mr. Scholtens explained the project to the Commission. He noted that they drew on existing architectural elements on the historic house in the design of some of the new elements to ensure they are appropriate to the house.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Bridge.

Chair Houze said she thinks this is a sensitive renovation of a non-historic addition and will be more in-keeping with the existing. She noted that while the deck is visible, due to the house's location on a corner lot, it also appears to be appropriate. Commissioner Garapolo said the addition is sensitive and he approves with no comments. Commissioner Tadic agreed and said it is a nicely done project.

Motion by Commissioner Sokol to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed. Second by Commissioner Garapolo. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

- F. HPC2020-14: 614 N Ridgeland Ave (Heather Nelson):** Certificate of Appropriateness to replace deteriorated front porch and alter stair location (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Gabe Grosso, the contractor, was present. Mr. Grosso explained the project. He said they will not change the footprint of the porch, they just want to move the stairs. He said the existing columns cannot be reused but if the Commission wants to replicate the cylindrical columns, they are happy to do whatever the Commission requests.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Tadic.

Chair Houze said she approves of the project and moving the stairs to the front is more historically accurate. Commissioner Garapolo said if the stairs are aligned with the door, they will not be centered. He said the four columns are not typical of the block; three would be typical. He expressed concern about the structural integrity of the roof. Mr. Grosso explained the structural design proposed. He said the project should be doable with three columns.

Commissioner Tadic asked when the stairs were moved to the side. Planner Trexler said no date was found but it was likely done in the 1950s or 1960s. Commissioner Tadic said moving the stairs to the front would be appropriate but round columns should be used. She recommended submitting a sketch with the three columns and Mr. Grosso agreed. Commissioner Carr agreed that three columns with stairs off-center in front of the door would be appropriate. She said 522 Belleforte Ave in the packet has the same basic design. Commissioner Sokol agreed and said this consistent with the neighborhood.

Motion by Commissioner Tadic to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed with the condition that: the 522 Bellefort Ave be used as an example, three round columns be used, the stairs be located at the front slightly off-center, and that the project receives final review and approval by staff. Second by Commissioner Sokol. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

- G. HPC2020-15: 814 S Elmwood Ave (814 S Elmwood Ave LLC):** Certificate of Appropriateness for renovation including opening the previously enclosed front porch, restoring the siding, and replacing windows (Gunderson Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Pat Magner, the architect, and Anthony Amunategui, the homeowner, were present. Mr. Magner explained the project. He noted the differences in window patterns, suggesting one was replaced at some point. They would like to replace them so they are consistent.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Tadic.

Chair Houze said there are three main elements: restoration of the clapboard siding, opening of the porch, and replacing windows. The first two items are appropriate. She asked if the diamond pane windows can be repaired. Mr. Magner said they would like to replace them, particularly given the one non-matching windows. The Commission discussed whether some of the windows could be replaced and not others. The discussion included the storm windows. Commissioner Carr said that the grille pattern isn't even visible through the storm windows and asked what material the proposed windows would be. Mr. Magner said they would get divided-lite windows with aluminum-clad wood to match the existing windows.

Commissioner Garapolo asked for details about the windows that will be raised. Mr. Magner explained this is the dormers only, to allow for flashing.

Chair Houze said she thought window replacement has been previously approved in the Gunderson Historic District and asked Planner Trexler. Planner Trexler confirmed that this has been done on at least one other property.

Commissioner Sokol said the dilemma is the impact of the storm windows. How to you match up or not match up the windows and what does that mean. Mr. Magner said there are two different things here and he's not sure which takes precedence. Commissioner Carr said if they are replacing the one that doesn't match, it seems they should replace all three.

Commissioner Sokol said he would prefer that the application return to the ARC for final approval. Planner Trexler said it might be a month or more before the ARC can meet. Mr. Amunategui said they would like a decision made this evening and they will do what the Commission requests.

Motion by Commissioner Carr to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed with the condition that the final approval of the decorative windows be provided by staff. Second by Commissioner Garapolo. Motion approved 6-1.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, and Chair Houze.

NAY: Commissioner Sokol

- H. HPC2020-16: 142 S Scoville Ave (Andrew Hammerschmidt and Jaimee Reggio):** Certificate of Appropriateness for renovation including rear mudroom addition, expansion of north-facing gable, opening of previously enclosed front porch, and installation of new siding (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Keith Jones and Kristin Jones, the architects, were present. They noted that the homeowners are also in attendance. Mr. Jones explained the project.

Motion by Commissioner Tadic to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Garapolo.

Commissioner Garapolo asked about the vertical board-and-batten siding. Mr. Jones said they added this siding type to add more visual interest and create balance by using the vertical siding on the two wings. They will restore the horizontal clapboard on a majority of the house. He said it also helps cue in where the addition is on the north.

Commissioner Garapolo said he likes the rear addition but it is in contrast with the treatment of the house; namely, the raised front porch and the stone band. He said he is not sure it relates to the house and it is visible from the street. Mr. Jones said the glazing brings light into the house and creates a line of sight to the backyard from the kitchen.

Commissioner Carr the dormer roof change might make it look larger. She said she doesn't have an issue with the vertical board-and-batten as the historic siding will be kept underneath. Mr. Jones said they made the dormer gabled to better fit with the gable roof of the house and it is a large dormer. Commissioner Carr agreed it still looks big with the shed roof but the shed roof may make it look more background. She expressed concern about the front door. Mr. Jones clarified that the glass will be clear, not frosted as it appears in the image. Chair Houze said she had this same concern. It was agreed that the door with clear glass is appropriate.

Chair Houze said the siding is appropriate but recommended that the porch roof should be asphalt shingle. She said the Guidelines state skylights are not permitted where visible from the street. She said the rear addition may not be compatible and the fact that it is ground-level is incongruous.

Commissioner Tadic said the form is there. She said she has no issue with the siding and doesn't mind the metal roofing on the porches. She said the skylights are the only issue based on the Guidelines. The new addition on the back needs more grounding, but maybe it's acceptable since it's a new addition.

Commissioner Sokol asked if the stone could be brought around the rear addition. The siding is appropriate. The skylights are clearly against the Guidelines, but is an impediment to getting light into houses of this age. Mr. Jones asked if the stone could just be added on the south elevation, since it is more visible from the street. Commissioner Garapolo approved. Commissioner Sokol agreed.

The Commission discussed the two options provided for the north gable windows. Commissioner Garapolo said Option B is more compatible. Chair Houze agreed. The Commission discussed the lack

of skylights and it was agreed that as there will not be skylights, it would be appropriate to use Option A on the north gable, as it is an addition, to allow more light.

The Commission discussed the garage demolition. Planner Trexler said the applicant included a letter from a structural engineer. The Commission did not have any concerns.

Motion by Commissioner Sokol to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the project as proposed with the following conditions: use Option A for the north gable windows, remove the skylights, maintain the historic siding underneath any new siding, and carry the stone band across the south elevation of the rear addition. Second by Commissioner Tadic. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

The Commission discussed whether to continue the meeting or end it to be continued at a later date. It was agreed that there would be a five-minute break and the meeting would resume. Planner Trexler asked Attorney Smith if there is a way for Advisory Review to be provided in writing. Following the break, Attorney Smith said the Advisory Review must be provided in a public meeting.

I. Advisory Review: 327-329 Home Ave (Mazola Home Ave, LLC): Advisory Review of new building on vacant lot and review of rezoning request (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview and said that 18 letters were received from the public prior to the meeting, including two letters from groups, all opposed to the rezoning and development at 327-329 Home Ave. She said that the Plan Commission would hold a public hearing on the rezoning application and that the hearing date has not yet been scheduled.

John Schiess, the architect, was present. Mr. Schiess explained the project to the Commission, including the design decisions for the new building.

Motion by Commissioner Garapolo to open for discussion; Second by Commissioner Carr.

Chair Houze said the first issue is the rezoning request. She said she doesn't think the zoning variance is appropriate. While the street is diverse, it is primarily a low-density block featuring single-family houses with green space between the buildings. The current R-5 designation is appropriate for this parcel. She read the R-5 and R-6 definitions and that she felt this lot better meets the R-5 definition. The key issue is the density. As the lot is so narrow and the number of units is high, it would be out of proportion with the density of rest of the block.

Commissioners Garapolo, Tadic, and Bridge agreed. Commissioner Sokol said he is having a problem separating out the two issues. He said he is most concerned about bringing the new building 25 feet in front of the historic building and that the new building is abutting the condominium building. Chair Houze agreed and said the proposed development is not in keeping with the historic nature of the block. She said she has some concerns for wrapping the new building around. She said she is also concerned about the style but this is less concerning than the issue of the space and the rhythm of the streetscape. Commissioner Sokol said there are several precedents for building next to and around historic buildings in the neighborhood and he does not have a problem with that. He said he is more concerned with the impact on the next-door neighbors.

Mr. Schiess said the building complies with R-5 zoning setbacks. He said this would be a transition parcel between R-5 and R-7. He said that the planning and zoning officers have reviewed this and he is surprised by the Commission's feedback. Attorney Smith said that the planning and zoning reviews operate on separately and have different guidelines.

Chair Houze reiterated that it doesn't make sense to change the zoning as it is not in keeping with the historical integrity of this part of the district. Mr. Schiess argued that the streetscape will remain the same. He said these comments are not coming from the ten Secretary of the Interior's Standards.

Commissioner Carr said the building reads as a three-story building from the street and it would be better if the roofline could be altered to bring down the scale to look more like a two-story building. She said the exposed walkway feels less residential and doesn't make much sense in Oak Park. Commissioner Garapolo agreed.

Chair Houze concluded the agenda item. Commissioner Sokol expressed concern that they are sending on two sets of disparate comments. Chair Houze said in the past these reviews have been provided as letters to the applicant. She asked if it is required that the comments be unified. Commissioner Sokol expressed concern that not all commissioners gave opinions. Attorney Smith said the Commission "may provide recommendations for Advisory Review matters." The Commission generally reaches a consensus; the staff writes up the consensus and forwards it. He said the Commission could make a motion and vote if they would like to formalize the process. Planner Trexler said that in the past, the Chair has requested that comments be provided with the names of commissioners so it's understood that the comments came from individuals and not the full commission. Chair Houze said this seems appropriate.

J. Advisory Review: 225 N Ridgeland Ave (Marc and Stacy Lunardini): Advisory Review of new building on vacant lot (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Marc Lunardini, architect and homeowner, and Stacy Lunardini, homeowner, were present. Mr. Lunardini explained the project. He said as it is a busy street, the living areas are at the back of the house and there is a courtyard on the south side.

Commissioner Garapolo said he likes the courtyard but the material and the character may not be compatible with the street. He said most entryways are on the front and historic windows tend to be vertical rather than horizontal. Ms. Lunardini said these windows were chosen because these rooms are bedrooms. She said there are houses with side entries in Oak Park. Commissioner Garapolo said he understands, but those side entries are obvious and this is more hidden.

Chair Houze said she approves of the scale and the contemporary references to Victorian elements like the pitch of the roof. She agreed with Commissioner Garapolo that it doesn't harmonize as well as it could, for example the materials and windows. Commissioner Carr said she likes how the massing ties in with the block. She also likes the consistent setback from the street and how the entry side is noted by a setback.

Chair Houze said the black color feels very heavy. Ms. Lunardini agreed. Mr. Lunardini said it will not be black but more of a dark gray. It will be a stained wood, not a paint. Commissioner Bridge agreed with previous comments about the massing. Chair Houze said the applicant is welcome to come to the ARC if they want additional feedback.

- K. Advisory Review: 154 N Lombard Ave (Blake Novotny):** Advisory Review for construction of coach house (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Ben Kennedy, the architect, was present. Mr. Kennedy explained the project to the Commission. He said they wanted to mimic the pitches of the house roof.

Commissioner Garapolo asked why Hardie board rather than wood. Mr. Kennedy said the decision was for maintenance purposes. Commissioner Garapolo said the massing is appropriate. Mr. Kennedy confirmed that he windows match the house. Commissioner Carr said she likes that the massing is broken up and the design looks appropriate. Chair Houze said the design is compatible with the house but the size is significantly larger than the original garage. She recommended wood siding as it is more in keeping with the house.

- L. Preliminary Review: 1111 Erie St (Sergiy Zamula):** Request for preliminary review of proposed addition in lieu of Architectural Review Committee (Frank Lloyd Wright-Prairie School of Architecture Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

Sergiy Zamula, the building owner, was present. He explained the project.

Commissioner Garapolo said the exposed CMU is not an appropriate material. He expressed concern about extending the flat roof form. Commissioner Tadic agreed. The material, window openings, and types of windows don't conform with the historic building.

Commission Car said she is concerned with the scale of the rear addition. She said the addition should not be taller than the main building. She said she would like to see the scale of the proposed building with the other buildings on the block. Adding on the extra floor at the front makes it very blocky. She said they should rethink the overall mass and scaling; it may be possible to get three floors in the back.

Commissioner Sokol said it looks industrial and the scale is not appropriate. He said the proposed addition does not fit it. Using brick may help. Chair Houze agreed. She recommended paying attention to the materials and the scale of the street.

- M. HPC2020-17: 203 S Marion St (203 S Marion Street Corporation):** Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish historic building and garage (Ridgeland-Oak Park Historic District).

Interim Chair Houze introduced with application. Planner Trexler gave an overview.

The following people were present on behalf of the project: Courtney Browner, of Focus Construction; David Mann, the architect; and Justin Pelej, of Focus construction. Ms. Brower introduced the project and the attendees on behalf of the applicant.

Chair Houze said there are two issues: a Certificate of Appropriateness to vote on and the Commission's advice on whether or not this building could be reclassified as non-contributing.

Commissioner Sokol said if demolition is approved, the second question does not apply. He asked if the COA would require a hearing. Attorney Smith said if the Commission declines to take action, the application would go to a public hearing.

Commissioner Tadic recommended taking no action. Commissioner Garapolo said they need much more information. He asked if restoration of the building has been considered. Chair Houze agreed with Commissioner Tadic that they should take no action. She recommended that they could still provide thoughts on whether the building is non-contributing but maybe this can wait until after the public hearing. Commissioner Garapolo said it should wait. Commissioner Bridge agreed and said she would want more information.

Mr. Mann asked if the Commission could be specific about the type of information they would like to see. Commissioner Garapolo said he wants to know the context, in terms of development, and how the existing building fits or doesn't fit into that proposal. He asked if any of the historic building can be saved and restored as part of the project. Chair Houze said she would need to know more about the building's history, including alterations made. She said based on the information provided, the building appears to retain enough historic material to remain contributing. She recommended providing more information about the existing building as well as the proposed project.

Planner Trexler said the Commission's decision would not be based on a future building proposed, but as Commissioner Garapolo noted, the Commission may want to see whether restoring the building is an option. Mr. Pelej said they can provide this. He said their intent is to develop the site as a mid-rise residential building. They can provide more detail to the extent that it is necessary.

The Commission took no action.

OTHER BUSINESS

- **Designating May as Preservation Month in Oak Park**

Chair Houze said a member of the public has asked that Oak Park make the month of May Historic Preservation Month. She asked if a vote is required. Commissioner Garapolo asked why May. Commissioner Bridge said May is National Historic Preservation Month. Attorney Smith said the Commission can express their desire to the Village Board.

Motion made by Commissioner Sokol to recommend that May be designated Preservation Month in Oak Park. Second by Commissioner Garapolo. Motion approved 7-0.

AYE: Commissioner Bridge, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Chase, Commissioner Garapolo, Commissioner Tadic, Commissioner Sokol, and Chair Houze.

NAY: None

ADJOURN

Motion by Commissioner Bridge to adjourn; Second by Commissioner Sokol.

The meeting adjourned at 10:30PM.

Minutes prepared by Susie Trexler, Historic Preservation Urban Planner.