

APPROVED Meeting Minutes  
Transportation Commission  
Monday, February 27, 2017  
Council Chambers – Village Hall

Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair Chalabian called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

Present: Jack Chalabian, Kyle Eichenberger, Michael Stewart, James Thompson, Joel Schoenmeyer, Craig Chesney

Excused: None

Staff: Mike Koperniak, Jill Juliano, John Youkhana, Mary Avinger

There was no non-agenda public testimony.

Approval of Tonight's Meeting Agenda

Commissioner Chesney motioned to approve the agenda as presented and was seconded by Commissioner Eichenberger. The motion was approved by a unanimous voice vote.

Approval of the Draft December 12, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Thompson motioned to approve the draft December 12, 2016, Transportation Commission meeting minutes and was seconded by Commissioner Schoenmeyer. The motion was approved by a unanimous voice vote.

UPDATE ON THE PARKING STUDY

John Youkhana, the Assistant Parking Director, gave a presentation that included two videos showing proposed simplified parking signs and spoke about doing a pilot program in the Village.

Commissioners Thompson, Eichenberger, Stewart, and Chesney all like the design, it works well, and is straightforward.

Commissioner Schoenmeyer asked when rolling out the pilot program, how many signs would be printed? John Youkhana responded that there would be 10 study areas with signs on different sides of the street. The goal is to clean up parking rules and use fewer signs.

On page 5.3 – 4/5, Commissioner Stewart questioned the direction of arrows on the sign. John Youkhana explained how the signs would be posted for arrow direction to explain restrictions.

Commissioner Eichenberger asked about the location signs on a block and said they should be simplified and properly oriented for optimal viewing.

Chair Chalabian how do the signs conform to MUTCD and Mike Koperniak responded signs can be made to conform. Chair Chalabian pointed out that the simplified design is being done in large cities and asked if similar sized cities are using these signs and John Youkhana responded that as of now he couldn't find any similar sized cities. Chair Chalabian asked where staff will focus the study and John Youkhana responded they haven't finalized the list but is open to suggestions from the Commission. Chair Chalabian thinks the study should be done along South Blvd, and in the Y2, Y3, and Y4 zones and added in many respects these signs are easy to read. Chair Chalabian also recommended staff looks for locations of highest parking tickets and test at those locations.

Commissioner Eichenberger thinks the study should include a mix of uses including high traffic locations.

#### CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT OF THE TRAFFIC CALMING TOOLBOX

Jill Juliano gave a presentation on the continued development of the traffic calming toolbox. She stated the Commission will start to work on developing the list of traffic calming measures the Village can use to address issues for submitted petitions and develop objective criteria for use in evaluating the validity and severity of petitions that are brought before the Commission for review. The Commission also needs to review and discuss finalizing scoring.

Chair Chalabian stated he would like a resolution within 90 days to get closure and so the Commission can use it.

Jill Juliano stated the two examples she used are from Placer County and Albuquerque and asked which format the Commission liked better.

Unanimously, the Commission all liked the Placer County format.

Commissioner Thompson asked for more information on the tool box and Jill Juliano explained why staff and the Commission are developing the traffic calming toolbox.

Commissioner Stewart stated if the Commission was to use the hybrid on page 37 that they should include bike friendliness.

A discussion took place about criteria and minimum effective scoring. It was decided that 25 points is the minimum score to bring a petition before the Transportation Commission and less than 25 points will only be on other enclosure.

A discussion took place among the Commissioners about the effects of a "high" score. The discussion also included questions from Commissioner Thompson about the environmental impact and if traffic control devices ever get removed.

Chair Chalabian asked how close they were to the development and said at the next meeting they need to discuss criteria and how to apply them. Commissioner Stewart agreed next meeting they will discuss criteria.

Commissioner Schoenmeyer motioned to adjourn the meeting and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Eichenberger.

The voice vote was unanimous to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:20 PM.

Respectively submitted

*Mary Avinger*

Mary Avinger,  
Administrative Secretary